


## **Historic, archived document**

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.





aSB249  
.A3

AUG 78 2013

ENTERED IN THE COMPUTER

# ***COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED***

***1954 - 1958***

***UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
Agricultural Marketing Service  
Cotton Division***

***MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE  
August 1958***





## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

## Cotton Division

## COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED

1954-58

CONTENTS

	Table No.	Page No.
Introduction . . . . .		1
Leading Varieties. . . . .		1
United States Map. . . . .		2
Varieties by States and United States. . . . .	1	3
Varieties by States and Counties:		
Alabama		
Varieties by State . . . . .	8	10
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	9	11
Arizona		
Varieties by State . . . . .	24	26
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	25	27
Arkansas		
Varieties by State . . . . .	15	16
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	16	17
California		
Varieties by State . . . . .	24	26
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	25	27
Florida		
Varieties by State . . . . .	23	26
Georgia		
Varieties by State . . . . .	6	8
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	7	9
Illinois		
Varieties by State . . . . .	23	26
Kentucky		
Varieties by State . . . . .	23	26
Louisiana		
Varieties by State . . . . .	17	18
Varieties by Parishes. . . . .	18	19
Mississippi		
Varieties by State . . . . .	10	12
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	11	13
Missouri		
Varieties by State . . . . .	23	26
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	14	15
New Mexico		
Varieties by State . . . . .	24	26
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	25	27
North Carolina		
Varieties by State . . . . .	2	4
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	3	5
Oklahoma		
Varieties by State . . . . .	19	20
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	20	21
South Carolina		
Varieties by State . . . . .	4	6
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	5	7
Tennessee		
Varieties by State . . . . .	12	14
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	13	15
Texas		
Varieties by State . . . . .	21	22-23
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	22	24-25
Texas-District 6		
Varieties by District. . . . .	24	26
Varieties by Counties. . . . .	25	27
Virginia		
Varieties by State . . . . .	23	26

P. O. Box 8074, Crosstown Station

Memphis 4, Tennessee

August 1958





## Cotton Varieties Planted, United States

1954-58

Estimates of the percentage of the various varieties of cotton planted in the United States in 1958, by counties, districts, and states, are presented in this report. Comparative data for the four years 1954-57 are shown by districts and states. A preliminary report containing percentage distributions of cotton varieties planted by counties and districts in the early harvesting area of south Texas was issued in mid-July.

The variety data presented herein are based principally on estimates furnished by cotton ginner for the territories served by their gins. Supplemental information is also obtained from others closely associated with cotton culture in their respective areas, such as seed breeders and dealers, and county agents.

These estimates indicate that on a belt-wide basis two general varieties, Acala and Deltapine, account for one-half of the cotton acreage in 1958. Acreage planted to each is equivalent to one-fourth of the total acreage. The next two leading varieties are Lankart and Coker. In the current season, Deltapine accounts for 25 percent of the United States acreage compared with 31 percent a year earlier and 34 percent two years ago. Acreage planted to Acala accounts for 25 percent of the 1958 total compared with 21 percent in the preceding season and 17 percent two years ago.

Lankart continues to increase in relative importance and this season displaces Coker as the third leading United States variety. Estimates indicate that Lankart accounts for 13 percent of the 1958 acreage. A year earlier, this variety accounted for 9 percent of the total. Coker decreased in relative importance for the second successive year accounting for 10 percent of the 1958 total against 12 and 13 percent, respectively, in the two preceding years. A percentage distribution of the ten leading varieties in 1958 with comparisons for four previous years is shown at the foot of this page.

In the southeastern area of the belt, Coker 100-W continues to be the principal variety of cotton planted. Estimates indicate that the proportions planted to this variety range from 97 percent in North Carolina to 32 percent in Alabama. For the area as a whole, Coker 100-W accounts for 63 percent of the total acreage compared with 65 percent a year earlier. Empire is the second most popular variety accounting for 17 percent of the 1958 total against 15 percent a year ago. Deltapine ranks third with 9 percent of the 1958 total acreage compared with 11 percent in 1957.

In the south central area of the belt, the leading variety continues to be Deltapine with 70 percent of the 1958 acreage against 69 percent last season. The proportions of the acreage planted to Deltapine range from 92 percent in Louisiana to 30 percent in Missouri. Delfos remains in second place among the leading varieties in this area followed by Empire, Fox and Stoneville. Delfos accounts for 9 percent of the 1958 acreage; Empire 5 percent; Fox 4 percent; and Stoneville 3 percent.

In the southwestern area of the belt, Lankart seems to be increasing its lead over other major varieties. Lankart accounts for 37 percent of the total acreage in this area against 32 percent last season. Deltapine ranks second with 12 percent of the 1958 acreage compared with 15 percent a year ago. Northern Star is the third most popular variety in 1958 with 9 percent of the total. Acala is fourth with 8 percent, and Western Stormproof is fifth with 6 percent of the Oklahoma-Texas acreage. A year earlier, Northern Star accounted for 7 percent of the total and Acala and Western Stormproof accounted for 9 and 3 percent, respectively.

In the far western area of the belt, Acala continues to be the predominating variety, accounting for 92 percent of the area total. This compares with 94 percent last season and 95 percent two years ago. There are some further increases this year in the proportions of Deltapine, particularly in Imperial and Riverside counties of California. Pima S-1 is the leading American-Egyptian variety with practically the entire acreage of extra long staple cotton planted in this variety.

\*\*\*\*\*

Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, United States, 1954-58


Year	Acala	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Deltapine	Empire	Fox	Lankart	Northern Star	Stone- ville	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
1954	19	13	1	31	4	3	6	3	4	*	14	100
1955	21	12	2	31	4	2	7	3	3	*	15	100
1956	17	13	4	34	5	2	10	3	3	1	8	100
1957	21	12	4	31	5	2	9	2	3	1	11	100
1958	25	10	3	25	6	1	13	3	2	2	10	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



UNITED STATES Districts for Cotton Quality Reports




U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE



Table 1. United States: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states and United States, 1954-58

Variety and Year	Alabama	Arizona	Arkansas	California	Florida	Georgia	Illinois	Kentucky	Louisiana	Mississippi	Missouri	New Mexico	North Carolina	Oklahoma	South Carolina	Tennessee	Texas	Virginia	United States
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Acala																			
1954	-	90	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	71	-	*	-	-	5	-	19
1955	-	94	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	71	-	*	-	-	6	-	21
1956	-	95	-	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	79	-	*	-	-	8	-	17
1957	-	93	-	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	-	-	-	-	10	-	21
1958	-	94	-	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	79	-	1	-	-	9	-	25
Coker 100-W																			
1954	37	-	1	-	69	58	-	-	*	6	1	-	98	-	99	1	*	99	13
1955	41	-	1	-	58	56	-	-	1	4	*	-	99	-	99	1	-	97	12
1956	39	*	1	-	63	57	-	-	1	4	*	-	98	-	99	1	-	98	13
1957	34	-	1	*	67	53	-	-	1	5	1	-	99	-	98	1	-	98	12
1958	33	*	*	-	55	57	-	-	1	5	1	-	97	-	96	1	-	97	10
Delfos																			
1954	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
1955	-	-	7	-	-	-	10	-	2	3	21	-	-	-	-	*	2	-	2
1956	-	-	13	-	-	-	4	-	1	4	29	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	4
1957	-	-	20	-	-	-	11	-	2	4	32	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	4
1958	-	-	18	-	-	-	5	-	1	3	36	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	3
Deltapine																			
1954	25	-	68	-	15	10	-	83	94	79	30	6	1	8	*	57	15	1	31
1955	24	-	72	*	6	11	1	85	94	80	35	2	1	8	*	65	17	3	31
1956	23	-	69	2	3	9	4	87	94	80	33	1	1	7	*	63	19	2	34
1957	24	-	66	3	7	9	2	93	90	76	29	*	-	7	*	63	16	1	31
1958	21	*	63	5	2	7	-	83	92	79	30	*	1	5	*	63	12	1	25
Empire																			
1954	19	-	5	-	1	24	-	-	*	2	*	4	*	*	-	21	1	-	4
1955	15	-	5	-	1	25	12	-	*	2	*	11	*	*	-	19	3	*	4
1956	19	-	4	-	2	28	35	-	*	2	*	9	1	1	*	20	3	*	5
1957	21	-	3	-	5	30	-	-	-	3	-	8	1	-	1	19	3	1	5
1958	26	-	4	-	14	29	43	-	*	2	*	9	1	-	1	22	2	2	6
Fox																			
1954	1	-	7	-	-	2	72	17	2	4	40	-	-	*	1	1	*	-	3
1955	1	-	3	-	-	2	56	15	1	3	21	-	-	*	-	6	-	-	2
1956	1	-	13	-	-	1	40	13	3	3	5	-	*	*	-	5	-	-	2
1957	1	-	3	-	1	1	74	7	1	4	22	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	2
1958	1	-	3	-	-	1	52	17	1	3	22	-	-	-	*	4	*	-	1
Lankart																			
1954	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	-	18	-	6
1955	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	36	-	-	22	-	7
1956	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	40	-	-	31	-	10
1957	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	41	-	-	31	-	9
1958	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	53	-	-	36	-	13
Northern Star																			
1954	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	3	-	10	-	1	11	-	3
1955	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	2	-	11	-	*	8	-	3
1956	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	1	-	19	-	*	9	-	3
1957	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	7	-	2
1958	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	11	-	-	9	-	3
Stoneville																			
1954	6	-	13	-	*	2	18	-	1	4	20	3	*	13	-	6	1	-	4
1955	5	-	7	-	5	1	11	-	1	4	16	3	-	10	-	5	1	-	3
1956	3	-	5	-	-	1	17	-	2	3	13	1	-	12	-	6	2	-	3
1957	4	-	3	-	-	1	*	*	5	5	12	1	-	8	-	4	2	-	3
1958	4	-	3	-	-	*	-	-	3	2	8	*	-	5	*	4	2	-	2
Western Stormproof																			
1954	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1955	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1956	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	1
1957	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	1
1958	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	2
Other 1/																			
1954	12	10	4	-	15	4	10	-	1	3	5	13	1	36	-	13	48	-	16
1955	14	6	5	-	30	5	10	-	1	4	7	11	-	35	*	4	41	-	15
1956	15	5	5	*	32	4	-	-	1	4	4	6	*	17	*	4	22	-	8
1957	16	7	4	-	20	6	13	-	1	3	4	7	*	23	1	7	26	-	10
1958	15	6	9	*	29	6	-	-	2	6	3	8	1	17	3	4	22	-	10
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
1954	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
1955	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
1956	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
1957	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
1958	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 2. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1954-58

District and State	Ginnings 1,000 bales	Coker 100-A Percent	Coker 100-W Percent	Coker 124 Percent	Delta- pine Percent	Empire Percent	Other 1/ Percent	Total Percent
<b>District 1</b>								
1954	88	-	97	-	1	1	1	100
1955	136	-	98	-	1	*	1	100
1956	76	-	97	-	1	2	*	100
1957	50	-	97	-	*	3	*	100
1958	-	7	89	-	1	3	*	100
<b>District 2</b>								
1954	151	-	99	-	*	*	*	100
1955	111	-	99	-	*	*	*	100
1956	157	-	99	-	1	*	-	100
1957	113	-	99	*	*	*	*	100
1958	-	2	95	1	1	1	*	100
<b>District 3</b>								
1954	146	-	98	-	1	*	1	100
1955	113	-	99	-	*	*	*	100
1956	133	-	99	-	*	*	*	100
1957	73	-	99	*	*	*	*	100
1958	-	2	95	1	*	1	1	100
<b>State</b>								
1954	385	-	98	-	1	*	1	100
1955	360	-	99	-	1	*	*	100
1956	366	-	98	-	1	1	*	100
1957	236	-	99	*	*	1	*	100
1958	-	3	94	1	1	1	*	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 3. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1958

District and County	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Coker 100-A	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Moore Special	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
Anson	10	5.8	-	99	1	-	*	-	100
Cabarrus	5	1.3	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Catawba	5	.4	-	87	-	13	-	-	100
Cleveland	24	19.2	19	78	-	3	-	-	100
Iredell	8	3.0	-	96	1	3	-	-	100
Lincoln	10	4.3	-	96	4	-	-	-	100
Mecklenburg	6	3.2	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Rowan	3	1.0	-	69	1	30	-	-	100
Rutherford	9	2.0	-	94	-	6	-	-	100
Stanley	5	.9	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Union	17	7.6	-	96	*	4	-	-	100
All Other	6	1.8	-	91	1	8	-	-	100
Total	108	50.5	7	89	1	3	*	-	100
District 2									
Bertie	3	3.7	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Chowan	3	1.6	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Edgecombe	6	5.9	*	100	-	-	-	-	100
Franklin	10	4.2	-	96	4	-	-	-	100
Gates	3	1.0	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Halifax	16	16.5	1	98	-	*	1	-	100
Hertford	3	4.0	1	99	-	*	-	-	100
Johnston	19	12.1	5	93	2	-	-	*	100
Nash	12	10.3	1	95	4	-	-	-	100
Northampton	16	16.8	1	90	-	5	4	-	100
Pitt	5	7.0	4	95	1	-	-	-	100
Wake	7	1.9	19	81	-	-	-	-	100
Warren	11	3.8	1	92	7	-	-	-	100
Wayne	11	7.7	1	99	-	-	-	-	100
Wilson	7	7.5	2	98	*	-	-	-	100
All Other	10	8.6	3	95	2	-	-	-	100
Total	142	112.6	2	95	1	1	1	*	100
District 3									
Bladen	4	.8	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Cumberland	8	4.4	-	99	-	-	-	1	100
Duplin	3	1.2	-	99	1	-	-	-	100
Harnet	13	11.5	-	99	1	-	-	*	100
Hoke	4	4.0	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Lee	3	1.3	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Richmond	3	.9	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Robeson	25	24.4	-	98	-	*	1	1	100
Sampson	16	12.2	3	91	2	-	2	2	100
Scotland	10	8.9	8	90	*	-	-	2	100
All Other	4	3.3	-	99	-	-	-	1	100
Total	93	72.9	2	95	1	*	1	1	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.






Table 4. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1954-58

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-A	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Wannamaker All-in-one	Other <sup>1/</sup>	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
<b>District 1</b>									
1954	121	-	99	-	-	-	-	1	100
1955	207	-	97	1	-	2	*	*	100
1956	101	-	99	*	-	1	-	*	100
1957	69	-	97	1	*	2	*	*	100
1958	-	8	87	1	2	1	1	*	100
<b>District 2</b>									
1954	273	-	99	*	-	-	-	1	100
1955	235	-	99	*	-	*	-	1	100
1956	279	-	99	-	-	*	-	1	100
1957	190	-	98	*	1	1	*	*	100
1958	-	5	92	-	1	1	-	1	100
<b>District 3</b>									
1954	127	-	97	-	-	-	-	3	100
1955	124	-	99	*	-	*	*	1	100
1956	142	-	99	-	-	*	1	*	100
1957	87	-	98	*	1	1	*	*	100
1958	-	6	87	-	5	1	1	*	100
<b>State</b>									
1954	521	-	99	-	-	-	*	1	100
1955	566	-	99	*	-	*	-	*	100
1956	522	-	99	*	-	*	*	*	100
1957	346	-	98	*	1	1	*	*	100
1958	-	6	90	*	2	1	*	1	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 5. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1958

District and County	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Coker 100-A	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Wannamaker All-in-One	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1										
Abbeville	5	3.9	-	66	-	33	1	-	-	100
Anderson	23	9.9	24	72	1	*	3	-	-	100
Cherokee	9	3.4	-	80	18	-	1	-	1	100
Chester	7	4.2	22	78	-	-	-	-	-	100
Edgefield	7	6.1	4	93	1	1	1	-	-	100
Fairfield	5	2.2	3	97	-	-	-	-	-	100
Greenville	15	3.9	12	78	-	-	1	9	-	100
Greenwood	4	1.4	19	76	-	3	2	-	-	100
Laurens	12	7.0	1	93	1	*	-	5	-	100
McCormick	3	1.3	-	89	1	10	-	-	-	100
Newberry	7	3.6	*	95	-	-	4	1	-	100
Oconee	7	1.5	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Pickens	7	1.4	5	95	-	-	-	-	-	100
Saluda	6	3.0	1	99	-	-	-	-	-	100
Spartanburg	28	6.5	13	87	*	-	*	-	-	100
Union	4	1.4	4	93	-	-	3	-	-	100
York	14	8.5	2	98	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	163	69.2	8	87	1	2	1	1	*	100
District 2										
Chesterfield	13	13.6	6	86	-	2	5	-	1	100
Clarendon	14	20.8	5	91	-	3	-	-	1	100
Darlington	11	11.0	20	80	-	-	-	-	-	100
Dillon	10	12.0	8	84	-	-	-	6	2	100
Florence	16	26.4	9	91	-	-	*	-	-	100
Kershaw	6	5.0	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Lancaster	9	4.8	8	92	-	-	-	-	-	100
Lee	15	28.6	6	93	-	-	1	-	*	100
Marion	5	4.9	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Marlboro	16	15.3	2	92	3	-	2	-	1	100
Richland	4	2.6	3	97	-	*	-	-	-	100
Sumter	22	29.1	1	97	-	1	-	-	1	100
Williamsburg	9	14.5	-	95	-	2	2	-	1	100
All Other	2	1.5	2	98	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	152	190.1	5	92	*	1	1	*	1	100
District 3										
Aiken	10	6.6	4	88	-	7	1	-	-	100
Allendale	3	3.6	-	91	-	9	-	-	-	100
Bamberg	5	5.7	2	94	-	3	1	-	-	100
Barnwell	8	6.6	1	91	-	8	-	-	-	100
Calhoun	8	9.4	20	70	-	2	1	7	-	100
Colleton	7	2.0	-	82	-	18	-	-	-	100
Dorchester	4	4.2	-	95	-	5	-	-	-	100
Hampton	6	3.2	3	93	-	4	-	-	-	100
Lexington	7	4.3	3	95	-	*	2	-	-	100
Orangeburg	31	38.3	6	88	*	5	1	-	-	100
All Other	2	3.4	2	98	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	91	87.3	6	87	*	5	1	1	-	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.






Table 6. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1954-58

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stone-ville	Stone-wilt	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1										
1954	215	57	8	30	1	-	1	1	2	100
1955	288	56	7	33	1	*	1	*	2	100
1956	199	57	7	33	1	*	1	*	1	100
1957	116	57	7	35	-	*	1	-	*	100
1958	-	57	7	35	-	*	-	1	*	100
District 2										
1954	246	50	16	23	3	4	2	*	2	100
1955	249	50	17	23	3	5	1	1	*	100
1956	231	47	14	29	1	7	1	1	*	100
1957	172	42	13	30	1	13	*	-	1	100
1958	-	46	10	31	1	10	*	-	2	100
District 3										
1954	154	69	5	17	2	1	1	4	1	100
1955	157	66	5	17	1	1	1	5	4	100
1956	149	69	6	17	*	1	1	1	5	100
1957	101	68	4	23	-	2	1	1	1	100
1958	-	71	3	20	-	2	1	*	3	100
State										
1954	615	58	10	24	2	2	2	1	1	100
1955	694	56	11	25	2	2	1	2	1	100
1956	579	57	9	28	1	3	1	1	*	100
1957	389	53	9	30	1	6	1	*	*	100
1958	-	57	7	29	1	5	*	*	1	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 7. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1958

District and County	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Deltapine	Empire	Plains	Stoneville 2B	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
Banks	3	2.3	45	10	45	-	-	-	100
Barrow	4	2.9	21	4	75	-	-	-	100
Bartow	9	10.6	75	-	25	-	-	-	100
Carroll	7	2.9	41	-	57	1	1	-	100
Chattooga	3	2.2	22	8	70	-	-	-	100
Coweta	7	3.9	29	1	69	-	1	-	100
Elbert	4	3.8	35	26	39	-	-	-	100
Floyd	6	2.8	56	1	32	-	-	11	100
Franklin	7	4.5	41	*	59	-	-	-	100
Gordon	6	4.4	27	2	69	-	2	-	100
Greene	3	.6	49	9	42	-	-	-	100
Gwinnett	5	1.2	99	1	-	-	-	-	100
Hancock	4	3.9	10	23	67	-	-	-	100
Haralson	3	.3	8	-	8	-	84	-	100
Hart	6	4.2	57	2	41	-	-	-	100
Henry	5	3.4	56	7	37	-	-	-	100
Jackson	6	3.4	37	-	63	-	-	-	100
Jasper	4	1.8	37	37	26	-	-	-	100
Madison	4	3.7	80	3	17	-	-	-	100
Meriwether	7	5.2	68	26	6	-	-	-	100
Morgan	10	5.9	88	2	10	-	-	-	100
Newton	4	1.8	65	25	10	-	-	-	100
Oconee	3	2.8	63	-	37	-	-	-	100
Paulding	3	.4	4	-	96	-	-	-	100
Pike	4	2.5	65	-	35	-	-	-	100
Polk	4	2.9	42	3	55	-	*	*	100
Walton	11	6.8	79	18	3	-	-	-	100
Wilkes	3	.8	68	-	27	-	-	5	100
All other	31	24.2	70	2	25	-	-	3	100
Total	176	116.1	57	7	35	*	*	1	100
			Coker 100-W	Deltapine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Other 1/	Total
District 2									
Bleckley	3	2.2	72	17	7	1	3	-	100
Brooks	4	5.3	48	5	29	3	15	-	100
Calhoun	3	5.3	77	9	10	2	2	-	100
Coffee	3	3.3	46	-	27	-	27	-	100
Colquitt	8	19.2	22	9	42	-	27	-	100
Crisp	4	9.0	36	2	28	-	24	10	100
Dodge	8	5.2	45	5	43	-	7	-	100
Dooly	9	11.4	42	39	11	-	5	3	100
Early	3	5.7	41	7	50	-	1	1	100
Irwin	3	5.5	18	1	77	-	4	-	100
Macon	5	5.3	64	6	9	5	1	15	100
Mitchell	5	8.3	66	4	25	3	2	-	100
Pulaski	4	5.2	41	22	26	-	9	2	100
Sumter	4	6.6	32	37	4	-	25	2	100
Taylor	5	7.0	29	-	69	-	-	2	100
Terrell	5	8.0	61	8	10	4	5	12	100
Thomas	3	4.2	46	3	18	2	31	-	100
Tift	4	8.0	44	-	39	-	17	-	100
Turner	4	8.0	24	10	59	-	7	-	100
Wilcox	5	5.6	82	1	13	-	4	-	100
Worth	5	6.6	42	7	45	-	6	-	100
All other	32	27.5	58	9	27	3	3	-	100
Total	129	172.4	46	10	31	1	10	2	100
			Coker 100-W	Deltapine	Empire	Plains	Stoneville 2B	Other 1/	Total
District 3									
Bulloch	7	7.4	72	-	23	-	5	-	100
Burke	15	18.3	72	6	15	-	4	3	100
Candler	3	4.2	56	-	44	-	-	-	100
Emanuel	7	6.0	52	3	44	1	-	-	100
Jefferson	7	8.2	88	5	3	-	-	4	100
Jenkins	3	4.2	85	-	10	-	1	4	100
Johnson	6	6.5	73	2	23	1	-	1	100
Laurens	7	10.6	54	8	19	19	-	-	100
Screven	5	5.7	55	-	34	-	-	11	100
Toombs	4	5.3	75	2	20	-	-	3	100
Washington	6	7.7	94	2	4	-	-	-	100
All other	19	17.0	68	2	24	1	1	4	100
Total	89	101.1	71	3	20	2	1	3	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.






Table 8. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1954-58

District and State	Ginnings	Auburn 56	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1954	329	-	13	28	-	35	1	8	11	4	100
1955	485	-	15	30	-	29	1	12	11	2	100
1956	376	-	17	27	-	33	2	12	7	2	100
1957	268	*	16	27	*	35	2	10	7	3	100
1958	-	*	11	25	1	42	2	9	7	3	100
District 2											
1954	216	*	54	33	-	3	3	4	1	2	100
1955	343	*	59	29	-	3	1	4	1	3	100
1956	230	*	57	27	-	7	1	5	*	3	100
1957	160	1	52	28	1	7	*	9	1	1	100
1958	-	2	51	26	4	7	1	7	*	2	100
District 3											
1954	194	-	67	9	-	2	-	11	1	10	100
1955	210	*	65	7	-	4	-	13	*	11	100
1956	140	*	61	7	-	4	-	20	*	8	100
1957	99	1	51	6	*	8	*	24	*	10	100
1958	-	3	59	2	-	5	-	23	*	8	100
State											
1954	739	*	37	25	-	19	1	8	6	4	100
1955	1,038	*	41	24	-	15	1	10	5	4	100
1956	746	*	39	23	-	19	1	12	3	3	100
1957	527	1	34	24	*	21	1	12	4	3	100
1958	-	1	33	21	2	26	1	11	4	1	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 9. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1958

District and County	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stone-ville	Other <sup>1/</sup>	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Blount	17	7.3	52	-	-	27	-	19	-	2	100
Cherokee	18	14.0	9	25	1	21	-	25	19	-	100
Colbert	11	11.6	-	89	-	9	-	-	2	-	100
Cullman	36	21.1	10	3	-	42	-	33	10	2	100
De Kalb	31	28.2	30	2	6	41	-	11	10	-	100
Etowah	12	6.4	50	1	-	12	-	16	13	8	100
Franklin	10	7.8	20	56	-	5	-	-	19	-	100
Jackson	17	12.2	7	8	-	78	-	1	6	-	100
Lauderdale	24	12.9	-	71	-	24	-	-	1	4	100
Lawrence	23	22.8	-	48	-	16	23	8	2	3	100
Limestone	25	38.9	4	21	-	66	-	-	-	9	100
Madison	30	37.2	-	25	1	70	1	-	-	3	100
Marion	9	4.9	10	55	-	25	6	4	-	-	100
Marshall	27	21.2	30	2	-	16	1	25	25	1	100
Morgan	25	19.6	2	23	-	60	-	8	6	1	100
Winston	6	2.2	-	32	-	41	-	16	-	11	100
Total	321	268.3	11	25	1	42	2	9	7	3	100
District 2											
Autauga	7	2.9	6	53	7	-	3	-	2	29	100
Calhoun	6	3.2	-	65	4	3	2	26	-	-	100
Chambers	6	5.2	-	64	23	-	5	7	-	1	100
Chilton	5	3.6	-	79	2	-	11	8	-	-	100
Choctaw	4	4.0	-	79	14	-	7	-	-	-	100
Clay	5	1.0	-	74	3	-	18	4	1	-	100
Dallas	13	15.5	-	74	14	-	10	2	-	-	100
Elmore	7	7.3	-	90	6	1	3	-	-	-	100
Fayette	7	3.8	-	16	10	16	7	50	-	1	100
Greene	5	4.9	3	72	17	6	2	-	-	-	100
Hale	9	10.6	-	27	46	13	4	9	-	1	100
Lamar	7	4.7	-	15	66	-	5	9	-	5	100
Lowndes	8	5.3	26	53	-	-	-	21	-	-	100
Macon	8	8.1	2	91	1	-	-	3	-	3	100
Marengo	8	11.8	-	40	58	-	-	2	-	-	100
Montgomery	7	5.3	2	87	3	1	1	6	-	-	100
Perry	5	7.0	-	26	62	11	-	-	-	1	100
Pickens	10	8.0	-	5	55	14	-	2	-	24	100
Randolph	7	3.3	-	43	2	-	46	9	-	-	100
Russell	6	5.4	-	85	5	3	4	3	-	-	100
St. Clair	4	1.8	-	24	33	27	-	-	16	-	100
Shelby	3	4.1	-	2	17	-	46	35	-	-	100
Sumter	13	7.3	7	41	47	-	-	5	-	-	100
Talladega	11	4.6	-	41	35	-	22	-	-	2	100
Tallapoosa	5	2.9	8	77	5	-	2	8	-	-	100
Tuscaloosa	10	9.2	-	16	54	13	15	2	-	-	100
Walker	6	2.0	-	12	9	-	21	54	-	4	100
All Other	8	6.8	-	86	2	1	6	3	1	1	100
Total	200	159.6	2	51	26	4	7	7	*	3	100
District 3											
Barbour	7	4.7	3	75	-	3	19	-	-	-	100
Bullock	5	4.5	-	89	-	-	-	3	8	-	100
Butler	5	2.8	11	57	3	2	9	-	18	-	100
Coffee	6	5.7	-	34	9	7	30	6	12	2	100
Conecuh	5	3.7	1	63	-	1	20	-	15	-	100
Covington	7	6.2	-	52	-	4	33	-	11	-	100
Crenshaw	6	3.3	3	68	1	2	19	2	5	-	100
Dale	3	2.8	-	81	-	5	11	-	-	3	100
Escambia	4	7.2	-	88	1	-	10	-	-	1	100
Geneva	10	13.6	-	39	-	7	37	-	17	-	100
Henry	6	7.7	-	75	-	2	14	-	9	-	100
Houston	8	12.1	-	52	1	20	17	2	7	1	100
Monroe	8	9.4	-	43	2	-	55	-	-	-	100
Pike	9	5.8	34	58	-	1	2	1	-	4	100
Wilcox	8	5.8	-	60	15	3	22	-	-	-	100
All Other	3	3.6	-	79	9	-	12	-	-	-	100
Total	100	98.9	3	59	2	5	23	1	7	*	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 10. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1954-58

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Coker Staple	Coker Wilds	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Stoneville	Other <sup>1/</sup>	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1954	842	6	2	-	4	80	1	4	*	3	100
1955	1,144	4	1	*	6	81	*	5	1	2	100
1956	894	2	1	-	6	81	*	5	1	4	100
1957	664	4	1	-	7	75	-	7	1	5	100
1958	-	6	*	-	5	79	-	5	2	3	100
District 2											
1954	374	2	-	*	-	78	3	2	12	3	100
1955	438	2	-	-	1	79	2	1	10	5	100
1956	370	4	-	*	1	76	3	1	9	6	100
1957	213	4	-	-	2	75	5	1	10	3	100
1958	-	5	-	-	2	78	4	*	8	3	100
District 3											
1954	196	12	-	-	*	75	5	4	3	1	100
1955	262	10	-	*	1	78	6	2	2	1	100
1956	196	10	-	*	*	80	6	1	2	1	100
1957	123	9	-	*	*	80	7	1	2	1	100
1958	-	15	-	*	*	76	6	1	2	*	100
District 4											
1954	152	5	-	-	*	81	5	2	6	1	100
1955	152	8	-	-	*	76	6	2	7	1	100
1956	117	12	-	-	*	74	7	2	4	1	100
1957	70	14	-	-	*	72	8	2	4	*	100
1958	-	13	-	-	*	74	8	1	4	*	100
State											
1954	1,564	6	1	*	2	79	2	4	4	2	100
1955	1,996	4	1	*	3	80	2	3	4	3	100
1956	1,577	4	*	*	4	80	2	3	3	4	100
1957	1,070	5	*	*	4	76	3	4	5	3	100
1958	-	5	*	*	3	79	2	3	2	6	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 11. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1958

District and County	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Coker Staple	Delfos	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Fox	Stone-ville	Other 1/	—	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
Bolivar	57	111.0	-	-	-	86	1	10	1	2	-	100
Coahoma	45	87.1	-	-	-	97	1	1	-	1	-	100
Holmes	22	26.6	12	-	11	71	-	1	3	2	-	100
Humphreys	16	31.6	2	2	37	58	-	-	-	1	-	100
Issaquena	3	6.3	5	-	5	49	2	39	-	-	-	100
Leflore	33	60.3	19	-	3	77	-	-	-	1	-	100
Quitman	25	43.2	-	-	-	94	-	4	-	2	-	100
Sharkey	15	23.0	13	9	10	32	-	35	-	1	-	100
Sunflower	39	96.6	3	-	-	86	9	1	-	1	-	100
Tallahatchie	26	35.2	5	-	-	91	-	-	2	2	-	100
Tunica	21	40.2	11	-	-	86	1	1	-	1	-	100
Warren	3	2.5	3	-	-	90	4	-	-	3	-	100
Washington	47	70.0	3	-	7	67	-	9	11	3	-	100
Yazoo	15	30.6	32	-	30	36	1	-	-	1	-	100
Total	367	664.2	6	*	5	79	2	5	2	1	-	100
			Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Fox	Stone-ville	Other 1/	—	Total
District 2												
Alcorn	9	8.4	11	-	63	-	13	1	10	2	-	100
Benton	6	7.7	2	-	55	-	20	-	20	3	-	100
Calhoun	10	9.1	-	-	64	-	-	-	35	1	-	100
Chickasaw	9	7.5	1	-	87	2	-	1	6	3	-	100
De Soto	19	21.3	9	-	75	-	11	-	2	3	-	100
Grenada	6	6.4	2	2	86	-	2	-	3	5	-	100
Itawamba	6	4.4	5	2	83	-	1	-	5	4	-	100
Lafayette	6	4.8	4	6	81	-	1	1	4	3	-	100
Lee	19	10.8	1	2	80	-	1	-	13	3	-	100
Marshall	17	19.9	3	5	80	-	5	-	5	2	-	100
Monroe	14	13.7	5	-	85	-	1	-	6	3	-	100
Panola	22	30.1	1	1	88	-	2	1	3	4	-	100
Pontotoc	11	9.0	2	-	77	1	7	-	11	2	-	100
Prentiss	12	10.2	33	-	61	3	1	-	1	1	-	100
Tate	12	20.3	-	-	91	-	3	-	3	3	-	100
Tippah	12	12.2	6	-	59	-	5	1	26	3	-	100
Tishomingo	6	4.4	20	-	71	-	-	1	4	4	-	100
Union	8	7.3	3	1	81	-	4	1	8	2	-	100
Yalobusha	5	5.7	-	22	54	6	-	1	16	1	-	100
Total	209	213.2	5	2	78	*	4	*	8	3	-	100
			Coker 100-W	Coker Wilds	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Stone-ville	Dixie King	Other 1/	Total
District 3												
Attala	8	5.0	20	-	-	75	3	-	2	-	-	100
Carroll	5	5.3	8	-	2	84	2	-	2	-	2	100
Clay	7	2.5	7	-	-	88	1	-	3	-	1	100
Hinds	13	12.3	5	-	-	84	2	3	6	-	-	100
Kemper	5	2.6	13	-	-	79	6	-	2	-	-	100
Lauderdale	7	3.3	29	-	-	63	8	-	-	-	-	100
Leake	12	10.6	31	-	-	60	7	2	-	-	-	100
Lowndes	8	9.9	5	-	-	89	4	1	1	-	-	100
Madison	15	17.4	9	-	-	82	4	1	3	1	-	100
Montgomery	7	4.7	6	-	1	87	1	-	5	-	-	100
Neshoba	8	10.1	41	*	-	51	8	-	-	-	-	100
Newton	9	4.4	27	-	-	50	23	-	-	-	-	100
Noxubee	8	11.2	9	-	-	83	6	1	-	1	-	100
Rankin	6	4.1	5	-	-	87	8	-	-	-	-	100
Scott	6	6.4	17	-	-	64	15	-	4	-	-	100
Webster	5	2.8	3	-	-	90	1	-	6	-	-	100
Winston	8	8.0	13	-	-	73	9	1	4	-	-	100
All other	3	1.8	10	-	-	84	4	-	2	-	-	100
Total	140	122.4	15	*	*	76	6	1	2	*	*	100
			Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Stone-ville	Other 1/	—	Total
District 4												
Adams	3	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Amite	8	4.3	2	-	95	1	-	-	2	-	-	100
Copiah	9	5.2	7	-	79	4	-	-	10	-	-	100
Covington	7	6.4	6	-	64	27	-	-	3	-	-	100
Jasper	9	4.2	34	-	61	5	-	-	-	-	-	100
Jefferson Davis	9	8.3	23	-	69	7	-	-	1	-	-	100
Jefferson	3	2.8	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Jones	4	1.2	28	-	62	8	-	-	2	-	-	100
Lawrence	4	4.3	3	-	90	3	-	-	4	-	-	100
Lincoln	4	2.9	4	-	81	4	-	-	11	-	-	100
Marion	9	4.4	8	-	68	13	-	3	8	-	-	100
Pike	5	2.5	10	-	72	1	-	-	17	-	-	100
Simpson	5	6.4	16	-	67	12	1	-	4	-	-	100
Smith	10	2.7	12	-	80	5	-	-	1	2	-	100
Walthall	5	3.6	2	-	81	10	3	-	4	-	-	100
Wayne	4	2.1	50	-	40	5	-	-	5	-	-	100
All other	11	9.0	18	1	68	7	5	-	1	-	-	100
Total	109	70.3	13	*	74	8	1	*	4	*	-	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 12. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1954-58

District and State	Ginnings 1,000 bales	Coker 100-W Percent	Delta- pine Percent	Empire Percent	Fox Percent	Hibred and Half & Half Percent	Stone- ville Percent	Other 1/ Percent	Total Percent
District 1									
1954	491	*	61	19	10	2	6	2	100
1955	558	*	68	17	6	2	5	2	100
1956	479	1	66	17	5	1	6	4	100
1957	375	1	66	16	6	1	5	5	100
1958	-	-	67	19	4	1	4	5	100
District 2									
1954	43	7	24	42	4	9	9	5	100
1955	55	6	28	40	2	11	6	7	100
1956	48	6	26	45	3	3	4	13	100
1957	29	8	25	52	2	5	2	6	100
1958	-	7	26	57	3	2	-	5	100
State									
1954	534	1	57	21	10	2	6	3	100
1955	613	1	65	19	6	3	5	1	100
1956	527	1	63	20	5	1	6	4	100
1957	404	1	63	19	6	2	4	5	100
1958	-	1	63	22	4	1	4	5	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 13. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1958

District and County	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Stone-ville	Tenn. 241	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Carroll	14	16.9	-	56	41	-	-	-	-	3	100
Chester	8	7.7	-	79	14	3	4	-	-	-	100
Crockett	12	28.1	-	64	22	4	-	9	-	1	100
Dyer	17	23.2	15	65	2	5	-	10	-	3	100
Fayette	17	23.5	-	67	13	-	-	16	-	4	100
Gibson	22	40.6	4	48	44	-	-	-	-	4	100
Hardeman	14	16.4	1	72	18	-	-	6	-	3	100
Hardin	5	3.2	-	80	14	3	2	-	-	1	100
Haywood	20	33.0	-	82	15	1	-	-	-	2	100
Henderson	17	13.5	-	65	12	-	14	-	7	2	100
Henry	3	2.3	5	60	30	-	-	-	-	5	100
Lake	10	19.8	-	71	-	26	-	-	-	3	100
Lauderdale	21	33.2	6	46	24	12	-	10	-	2	100
McNairy	18	14.0	-	85	-	8	3	3	-	1	100
Madison	19	24.1	-	63	29	1	1	1	-	5	100
Obion	8	8.1	-	84	7	1	-	5	-	3	100
Shelby	23	23.4	-	77	12	1	-	2	-	8	100
Tipton	20	36.1	-	73	14	6	-	4	-	3	100
Weakley	5	5.9	-	51	31	2	-	11	-	5	100
All Other	3	2.0	-	58	37	-	2	-	-	3	100
Total	276	375.0	2	67	19	4	1	4	*	3	100


			Cobalt 1	Coker 100-W	Delta- pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Other 1/	_____	Total
District 2											
Franklin	3	4.0	-	-	29	65	-	1	5	-	100
Giles	5	3.0	-	29	21	42	5	1	2	-	100
Lawrence	13	9.3	4	2	12	78	-	2	2	-	100
Lincoln	7	9.0	-	10	26	58	-	2	4	-	100
Rutherford	4	2.0	-	-	88	7	-	-	5	-	100
All Other	7	2.0	-	-	27	37	27	3	6	-	100
Total	39	29.3	1	7	26	57	3	2	4	-	100

Table 14. Missouri: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1958

County	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Auburn 56	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Fox	Paula	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
County												
Butler	10	10.8	-	-	56	32	-	5	2	3	2	100
Dunklin	37	37.2	6	3	52	17	-	12	-	7	3	100
Mississippi	14	19.2	1	-	13	52	-	23	-	10	1	100
New Madrid	39	44.2	-	1	40	23	1	24	-	9	2	100
Pemiscot	32	47.5	-	-	30	44	-	18	-	6	2	100
Scott	10	10.1	-	1	13	17	-	50	-	19	-	100
Stoddard	12	13.3	-	-	30	10	-	44	3	10	3	100
All Other	1	.5	-	5	5	60	-	5	20	-	5	100
Total	155	182.8	1	1	36	30	*	22	*	8	2	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 15. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1954-58

District and State	Ginnings	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Paula	Rowden	Stoneville	Other <sup>1/</sup>	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1954	43	-	58	3	3	27	-	3	1	5	100
1955	68	-	55	3	*	35	-	1	1	5	100
1956	48	2	59	7	*	27	-	1	1	3	100
1957	22	*	56	6	*	30	-	1	2	5	100
1958	-	4	58	11	*	21	-	-	*	6	100
District 2											
1954	793	1	68	8	10	*	2	1	8	2	100
1955	901	7	73	7	4	-	2	1	4	2	100
1956	802	13	73	5	4	-	*	*	3	2	100
1957	516	20	67	5	5	-	*	-	1	2	100
1958	-	16	60	6	5	-	-	-	4	9	100
District 3											
1954	84	-	79	2	*	1	-	6	9	3	100
1955	136	2	75	2	*	2	-	6	9	4	100
1956	92	2	70	2	1	2	-	8	10	5	100
1957	65	2	79	2	-	1	-	6	6	4	100
1958	-	3	85	1	1	1	-	2	3	4	100
District 4											
1954	427	3	65	1	2	*	1	1	24	3	100
1955	546	7	71	2	2	*	-	1	14	3	100
1956	457	18	66	2	2	*	-	*	8	4	100
1957	362	26	62	1	1	-	-	*	7	3	100
1958	-	25	66	*	1	-	-	-	3	5	100
State											
1954	1,347	2	68	5	7	1	1	1	13	2	100
1955	1,651	7	72	5	3	1	1	1	7	3	100
1956	1,399	13	69	4	3	1	*	1	5	4	100
1957	965	20	66	3	3	1	*	*	3	4	100
1958	-	18	63	4	3	*	-	*	3	9	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 16. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1958

District and County	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Rex	Stone-ville	Other <sup>1/</sup>	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Faulkner	6	4.9	8	53	15	-	19	-	-	5	100
Independence	4	.8	-	66	9	-	5	14	1	5	100
White	12	7.5	1	45	16	-	33	-	-	5	100
Yell	3	3.9	3	85	7	-	-	-	-	5	100
All other	10	4.9	4	63	4	1	22	-	1	5	100
Total	35	22.0	4	58	11	*	21	1	*	5	100
			Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Rex	Stone-ville	Other <sup>1/</sup>	Total
District 2											
Clay	22	22.4	-	36	17	36	4	2	1	4	100
Craighead	35	49.2	-	77	12	5	-	2	2	2	100
Crittenden	56	72.2	1	-	82	1	13	1	1	1	100
Cross	20	27.7	-	8	45	6	-	37	-	4	100
Greene	15	20.0	-	43	30	14	-	-	8	5	100
Jackson	20	33.2	-	2	50	11	2	30	-	5	100
Lawrence	13	13.3	-	7	21	56	-	4	7	5	100
Mississippi	69	122.5	-	9	63	-	12	3	12	1	100
Poinsett	27	61.6	-	17	81	-	-	-	-	2	100
Randolph	8	8.8	-	5	21	40	2	3	24	5	100
St. Francis	30	57.8	-	4	81	2	3	5	1	4	100
Woodruff	14	26.9	-	1	90	-	-	4	-	5	100
Total	329	515.6	*	16	60	6	5	6	4	3	100
			Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Rowden	Stone-ville	Other <sup>1/</sup>	Total
District 3											
Ashley	10	22.5	5	90	-	2	-	-	-	3	100
Bradley	4	1.4	-	79	-	-	3	3	13	2	100
Calhoun	3	1.4	-	67	5	-	-	9	14	5	100
Clark	3	1.1	3	44	-	-	15	19	-	19	100
Columbia	7	3.5	-	63	16	-	-	2	14	5	100
Drew	6	6.8	15	78	-	-	-	-	3	4	100
Hempstead	10	4.8	-	79	2	-	-	10	7	2	100
Lafayette	6	10.5	-	94	-	1	-	-	-	5	100
Little River	4	2.5	-	89	-	-	-	5	4	2	100
Miller	5	3.8	-	89	-	2	-	5	-	4	100
Nevada	3	.9	-	65	-	-	19	10	1	5	100
All other	10	6.2	-	72	3	2	3	4	4	12	100
Total	71	65.4	3	85	1	1	1	2	3	4	100
			Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Rex	Stone-ville	Other <sup>1/</sup>	Total
District 4											
Arkansas	6	5.7	-	4	84	2	-	-	6	4	100
Chicot	12	24.3	-	-	82	-	13	1	-	4	100
Desha	15	31.6	1	43	42	-	1	-	11	2	100
Jefferson	36	59.1	1	28	59	-	-	9	-	3	100
Lee	23	50.7	-	5	79	-	-	6	8	2	100
Lincoln	11	25.5	-	32	62	-	-	1	1	4	100
Lonoke	23	57.9	-	77	17	-	-	-	4	2	100
Monroe	13	35.7	-	7	89	-	-	-	1	3	100
Phillips	41	60.4	-	5	91	-	-	-	1	3	100
Prairie	3	4.2	-	2	93	-	-	-	-	5	100
Pulaski	13	6.7	-	1	97	-	-	-	-	2	100
Total	196	361.8	*	25	66	*	1	2	3	3	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



U S DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 17. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1954-58

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Fox	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
1954	119	*	-	98	1	*	1	100
1955	128	1	2	96	*	*	1	100
1956	103	1	*	96	*	*	3	100
1957	78	1	-	93	-	*	6	100
1958	-	-	-	95	-	-	5	100
District 2								
1954	15	4	-	91	-	*	5	100
1955	22	6	-	88	-	1	5	100
1956	15	6	-	86	-	*	8	100
1957	8	5	-	92	-	*	3	100
1958	-	5	-	87	-	-	8	100
District 3								
1954	248	*	3	91	4	1	1	100
1955	278	*	4	92	3	*	1	100
1956	286	*	2	95	2	*	1	100
1957	186	1	3	91	2	2	1	100
1958	-	2	1	92	1	2	2	100
District 4								
1954	180	*	-	97	*	2	1	100
1955	144	-	-	96	-	4	*	100
1956	166	*	-	90	2	7	1	100
1957	74	*	-	85	*	13	2	100
1958	-	1	-	87	-	11	1	100
State								
1954	562	*	2	94	2	1	1	100
1955	572	1	2	94	1	1	1	100
1956	570	1	1	94	1	2	1	100
1957	346	1	2	90	1	5	1	100
1958	-	1	1	92	1	3	2	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 18. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by parishes and districts, crop of 1958

District and Parish	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Auburn 56	Delta-pine	Stardel	Other 1/	_____	_____	_____	Total
	<u>Number</u>	<u>1,000 bales</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>
District 1										
Bossier	8	12.4	-	86	13	1	-	-	-	100
Caddo	15	26.9	1	97	-	2	-	-	-	100
De Soto	5	3.2	-	99	-	1	-	-	-	100
Natchitoches	15	13.5	8	91	-	1	-	-	-	100
Rapides	12	13.4	-	99	-	1	-	-	-	100
Red River	5	6.3	-	98	-	2	-	-	-	100
All Other	3	2.6	5	94	-	1	-	-	-	100
Total	63	78.3	2	95	2	1	-	-	-	100
District 2										
			Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Plains	Stardel	Other 1/	_____	Total
Bienville	4	.9	-	92	-	-	-	8	-	100
Claiborne	4	2.1	3	85	12	-	-	-	-	100
Lincoln	4	1.3	-	92	1	-	4	3	-	100
Webster	3	2.2	-	90	-	7	-	3	-	100
All Other	2	1.5	20	80	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	17	8.0	5	87	3	2	1	2	-	100
District 3										
			Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Fox	Stone ville	Stardel	Other 1/	Total
Catahoula	5	5.5	1	-	96	-	1	1	1	100
Concordia	6	6.5	1	-	98	-	-	-	1	100
East Carroll	12	20.1	-	12	70	6	2	-	10	100
Franklin	22	38.7	-	-	97	-	1	1	1	100
Madison	8	13.8	1	1	96	-	-	1	1	100
Morehouse	11	22.0	-	-	95	2	1	1	1	100
Quachita	5	10.9	1	-	96	1	1	-	1	100
Richland	19	32.7	1	-	97	-	-	1	1	100
Tensas	7	16.9	3	-	92	-	-	-	5	100
West Carroll	13	19.0	-	1	87	-	11	-	1	100
All Other	2	-	1	-	97	1	-	-	1	100
Total	110	186.1	2	1	92	1	2	1	1	100
District 4										
			Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Stardel	Stone-ville	Other 1/	_____	Total
Acadia	5	2.0	-	99	-	-	1	-	-	100
Avoyelles	16	12.0	-	99	-	-	-	1	-	100
East Feliciana	3	1.9	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Evangeline	12	7.6	-	99	-	-	-	1	-	100
Lafayette	12	9.1	-	88	-	-	12	-	-	100
Pointe Coupee	7	6.3	-	50	-	-	50	-	-	100
St. Landry	28	21.1	-	90	-	1	8	1	-	100
St. Martin	6	3.7	-	60	-	-	40	-	-	100
Vermilion	3	1.6	-	99	-	-	1	-	-	100
Washington	4	2.5	16	77	4	-	-	3	-	100
All Other	1	5.7	-	85	-	-	15	-	-	100
Total	97	73.5	1	87	*	*	11	1	-	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 19. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1954-58

District and State	Ginnings	Cluster	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Rowden	Stone-ville 62	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
1954	217	7	*	15	43	13	13	-	-	1	8	100
1955	311	5	*	16	45	11	14	-	-	1	8	100
1956	203	3	*	3	49	7	24	3	-	1	10	100
1957	214	3	*	1	49	6	17	7	-	*	17	100
1958	-	2	-	2	59	2	13	7	-	1	14	100
District 2												
1954	34	-	23	1	6	1	1	*	4	51	13	100
1955	71	-	28	*	7	*	1	-	*	52	12	100
1956	31	-	23	1	6	-	7	3	1	50	9	100
1957	16	-	27	2	6	*	4	2	*	49	10	100
1958	-	-	40	3	13	-	5	2	-	37	*	100
District 3												
1954	38	-	23	11	17	2	5	7	5	24	6	100
1955	66	-	31	11	16	2	5	6	2	19	8	100
1956	26	-	21	6	32	1	9	2	1	23	5	100
1957	29	*	35	8	25	1	3	5	*	19	4	100
1958	-	-	22	9	36	3	3	9	*	16	2	100
State												
1954	289	5	8	12	33	10	10	1	1	13	7	100
1955	448	4	8	13	36	8	11	1	*	10	9	100
1956	260	2	7	3	40	5	19	3	*	12	9	100
1957	259	2	7	3	41	5	14	6	*	8	14	100
1958	-	1	5	2	53	2	11	7	*	5	14	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 20. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1958

District and County	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Cluster	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Stoneville 62	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	<u>Number</u>	<u>1,000 bales</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>
District 1												
Beckham	14	23.9	-	-	32	7	3	10	-	48	-	100
Blaine	7	1.7	-	-	34	-	52	2	2	10	-	100
Caddo	24	20.6	-	4	77	-	8	9	1	-	1	100
Comanche	5	2.0	-	11	29	-	55	2	3	-	-	100
Cotton	3	4.3	-	5	20	-	-	75	-	-	-	100
Custer	6	6.2	-	4	79	-	15	-	2	-	-	100
Dewey	3	1.9	-	-	51	17	4	-	28	-	-	100
Greer	11	16.2	2	-	56	2	8	11	-	15	6	100
Harmon	8	22.6	9	40	-	-	27	1	-	11	12	100
Jackson	18	37.4	-	56	-	2	22	3	-	5	12	100
Kiowa	14	20.0	-	-	-	83	7	1	-	3	6	100
Roger Mills	4	3.6	-	7	27	5	2	-	-	59	-	100
Tillman	15	31.3	3	3	-	70	7	7	-	-	10	100
Washita	17	22.0	-	3	71	-	13	5	7	-	1	100
Total	149	213.7	2	2	59	2	13	7	1	10	4	100
District 2												
			Acala 44	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Northern Star	Parrot	Stoneville 62	Other 1/		Total
Canadian	5	2.7	-	12	-	59	10	5	14	-	-	100
Hughes	3	.4	-	17	3	-	-	-	80	-	-	100
Logan	4	.3	2	32	-	2	5	-	59	-	-	100
McIntosh	7	2.2	-	6	8	-	6	4	76	-	-	100
Muskogee	13	5.4	-	78	-	-	1	-	21	-	-	100
Okmulgee	3	.7	-	14	6	-	7	-	73	-	-	100
Pittsburg	3	1.0	-	42	-	-	5	-	53	-	-	100
Wagoner	4	.9	-	76	-	-	-	7	17	-	-	100
All Other	20	2.8	-	21	6	21	7	4	41	-	-	100
Total	62	16.4	*	40	3	13	5	2	37	-	-	100
District 3												
			Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Rowden	Stoneville 62	Other 1/	Total
Bryan	4	3.4	-	-	55	-	5	40	-	-	-	100
Garvin	6	1.5	1	-	15	-	-	-	-	84	-	100
Grady	10	5.7	-	3	91	-	2	-	-	4	-	100
Jefferson	6	6.5	-	11	26	11	5	15	-	32	-	100
McClain	4	1.9	-	6	78	-	14	-	-	2	-	100
All Other	15	9.9	63	16	1	2	-	1	1	6	10	100
Total	45	28.9	22	9	36	3	3	9	*	16	2	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.






Table 21. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1954-58

District and State	Ginnings	Acala	American Egyptian	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett	Macha	Mebane	Northern Star	Pay-master	Qualla	Rowden	Stone-ville	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1																			
1954	1,581	1	-	-	1	•	2	17	20	15	•	18	17	-	-	-	-	9	100
1955	1,392	1	-	-	2	3	8	32	7	4	1	11	23	•	-	•	-	8	100
1956	1,655	3	-	-	2	3	1	53	5	1	1	11	11	3	-	1	3	100	100
1957	1,572	4	-	-	1	3	•	47	4	7	•	6	17	-	-	-	2	9	100
1958	-	4	-	-	1	3	1	51	2	•	1	9	9	•	-	-	5	14	100
District 2																			
1954	391	-	-	-	10	-	11	25	10	3	4	18	2	3	-	-	-	14	100
1955	522	-	-	-	9	•	9	20	15	3	4	12	4	3	1	-	-	20	100
1956	273	2	-	•	7	1	7	28	9	•	1	17	2	1	1	-	20	4	100
1957	476	2	-	-	4	•	4	25	6	1	•	21	5	•	-	-	23	9	100
1958	-	2	-	-	2	•	•	28	4	-	•	17	2	•	•	-	31	14	100
District 3																			
1954	16	-	-	-	1	1	11	13	6	-	18	21	4	11	3	9	-	2	100
1955	17	-	-	-	-	1	5	22	5	-	13	12	-	17	1	1	-	23	100
1956	9	-	-	-	1	-	4	33	8	-	9	17	-	11	-	-	2	15	100
1957	15	1	-	-	6	-	8	26	11	-	6	13	1	6	-	-	1	21	100
1958	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	46	15	-	6	7	-	4	-	-	-	19	100
District 4																			
1954	440	•	-	-	4	•	•	33	•	-	11	10	•	11	28	•	-	3	100
1955	628	•	-	-	4	•	•	32	1	-	12	11	•	17	20	•	-	3	100
1956	350	•	-	-	8	•	•	49	•	-	6	11	-	9	14	•	-	2	100
1957	411	1	-	-	5	•	•	54	•	-	5	12	-	11	6	•	-	6	100
1958	-	1	-	-	3	-	•	56	•	-	9	17	-	6	2	•	1	5	100
District 5																			
1954	145	1	-	-	47	•	11	1	-	-	13	2	-	7	11	1	-	6	100
1955	229	2	-	-	57	1	8	1	-	-	8	3	-	5	11	1	-	3	100
1956	155	3	-	-	61	2	7	3	-	-	9	2	-	4	4	1	-	4	100
1957	137	-	-	-	59	1	5	6	-	-	8	2	-	7	4	•	-	8	100
1958	-	1	-	-	46	2	5	11	-	-	10	3	-	5	3	•	-	14	100
District 6																			
1954	270	79	7	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	100
1955	278	80	13	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	5	100
1956	299	93	4	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	1	-	2	100
1957	283	85	12	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	1	-	2	100
1958	-	85	10	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	1	-	2	100
District 7																			
1954	20	4	-	-	-	-	7	37	-	1	6	1	•	13	6	1	-	24	100
1955	26	2	-	-	-	-	6	43	-	-	5	•	-	6	5	-	-	33	100
1956	7	3	-	-	•	-	3	26	-	-	4	4	-	7	5	-	40	8	100
1957	16	-	-	-	-	3	-	56	-	-	•	5	-	10	1	-	16	9	100
1958	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	49	-	-	-	3	-	4	-	-	24	10	100
District 8																			
1954	350	•	-	7	19	4	-	17	•	-	5	8	•	8	22	1	-	9	100
1955	222	•	-	15	13	5	-	25	-	-	3	9	•	7	14	4	-	5	100
1956	212	•	-	23	17	3	-	15	•	-	2	7	-	9	10	5	-	9	100
1957	231	2	-	15	22	2	-	24	-	-	2	11	-	5	7	3	-	7	100
1958	-	-	-	18	16	2	-	28	-	-	3	8	-	7	5	3	-	10	100
District 9																			
1954	182	-	-	1	80	•	-	7	-	-	•	2	-	•	2	2	-	6	100
1955	220	•	-	•	80	3	-	5	-	-	•	•	-	1	4	•	-	7	100
1956	153	•	-	1	81	3	-	6	-	-	•	1	-	•	1	2	-	5	100
1957	147	1	-	1	81	4	-	4	-	-	-	1	-	•	1	1	-	6	100
1958	-	1	-	•	77	1	-	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	2	-	13	100
District 10																			
1954	467	1	-	-	62	12	-	•	-	-	•	3	•	3	3	4	-	12	100
1955	423	1	-	1	66	8	-	1	-	-	•	3	•	4	3	7	-	6	100
1956	442	2	-	3	61	9	-	2	-	-	•	2	•	2	1	13	-	5	100
1957	313	1	-	6	56	6	-	1	-	-	-	5	•	1	1	16	-	7	100
1958	-	5	-	7	53	6	-	2	-	-	•	1	•	1	•	17	-	8	100
State																			
1954	3,862	5	•	1	15	1	4	18	8	5	5	11	6	4	10	1	-	6	100
1955	3,957	6	1	2	17	3	5	22	5	2	3	8	10	4	5	1	-	6	100
1956	3,555	8	•	2	19	3	2	31	2	•	2	9	4	3	4	2	-	5	100
1957	3,601	10	1	2	16	3	1	31	2	3	1	7	8	2	1	2	3	7	100
1958	-	9	1	2	12	2	•	36	2	•	2	9	4	2	1	2	6	10	100

• Less than 0.5 percent.  
1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 22. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1958

District and County	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Acala	Anton 99	Blight Master	Empire	Gregg	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Pay-master	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1														
Bailey	20	72.5	-	11	-	-	-	59	3	20	-	-	7	100
Briscoe	5	13.7	-	-	-	-	2	8	-	68	8	-	14	100
Castro	14	40.3	-	-	-	10	14	29	2	10	29	-	6	100
Cochran	13	49.1	-	3	4	1	2	73	4	3	4	-	6	100
Crosby	21	96.3	-	2	2	-	-	73	1	12	3	-	7	100
Dawson	25	121.6	12	-	-	-	-	43	-	-	-	24	21	100
Floyd	21	74.0	-	-	1	-	1	24	4	31	37	-	2	100
Gaines	8	32.0	30	-	15	-	-	31	-	3	6	-	15	100
Hale	32	166.9	-	1	-	11	6	47	-	18	11	-	6	100
Hockley	34	138.4	2	5	-	-	-	66	5	11	6	-	5	100
Howard	13	29.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	5	70	19	100
Lamb	35	143.5	-	1	1	5	2	55	5	7	19	-	5	100
Lubbock	41	205.0	-	10	-	-	-	72	1	1	3	-	13	100
Lynn	28	140.8	-	-	-	2	4	66	3	-	-	7	18	100
Martin	13	43.0	41	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	3	22	23	100
Midland	3	13.7	50	-	-	-	-	40	-	-	-	-	10	100
Parmer	14	52.7	-	2	-	13	6	14	2	18	42	-	3	100
Swisher	12	29.6	-	-	-	12	12	29	-	13	31	-	3	100
Terry	17	76.1	2	-	1	2	-	79	-	4	6	-	6	100
Yoakum	6	19.6	6	-	10	2	3	57	2	3	10	-	7	100
All other	5	14.2	13	-	-	-	-	21	17	9	18	13	9	100
Total	380	1,572.4	4	3	1	3	2	51	2	9	9	5	11	100
District 2														
Baylor	3	8.0	-	-	-	48	-	10	-	2	-	32	8	100
Childress	6	14.1	-	-	-	31	-	18	-	-	-	42	9	100
Coleman	6	16.2	-	-	-	51	-	2	-	11	-	23	13	100
Collingsworth	12	2.9	-	-	-	29	1	16	-	-	-	30	24	100
Cottle	7	25.4	-	1	1	11	2	43	34	-	-	-	8	100
Dickens	7	19.2	-	-	5	49	2	-	-	-	6	31	7	100
Donley	5	10.0	-	-	-	19	13	30	2	-	-	27	9	100
Fisher	11	27.4	-	-	-	5	-	8	-	2	-	76	9	100
Poard	4	5.7	-	-	-	44	38	11	-	-	-	-	7	100
Garza	6	18.7	-	-	-	50	-	4	-	-	-	41	5	100
Hall	15	34.0	-	2	-	28	27	10	10	-	-	16	7	100
Hardeman	7	12.3	9	-	-	33	10	25	-	-	-	15	8	100
Haskell	12	52.0	2	-	-	9	-	55	-	-	19	5	10	100
Jones	17	46.6	-	-	-	46	-	14	-	-	12	18	10	100
Knox	9	24.4	25	31	-	21	-	-	-	-	-	14	9	100
Mitchell	9	24.5	-	-	-	10	-	2	-	-	-	79	9	100
Motley	5	11.5	-	-	5	42	10	17	10	-	-	-	16	100
Nolan	6	13.6	-	-	-	32	-	13	-	-	-	40	15	100
Runnels	14	19.4	-	-	-	45	-	2	-	4	-	39	10	100
Scurry	9	30.5	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	89	5	100
Stonewall	4	6.3	-	-	-	60	-	15	-	-	-	20	5	100
Taylor	7	9.0	-	-	-	33	-	8	-	1	-	48	10	100
Wheeler	10	10.2	-	-	-	27	16	33	8	-	-	9	7	100
Wichita	4	2.7	-	-	17	24	18	41	-	-	-	-	-	100
Wilbarger	11	21.9	1	2	1	50	12	22	-	-	4	-	8	100
All other	5	9.9	-	1	-	24	-	28	7	-	-	32	8	100
Total	211	476.4	2	2	*	28	4	17	2	*	4	31	10	100
District 3														
Clay	3	2.8	-	7	60	25	-	4	-	-	4	-	-	100
All other	26	11.8	*	2	40	13	8	8	5	20	4	-	-	100
Total	29	14.6	*	3	46	15	6	7	4	15	4	-	-	100
District 4														
Bell	22	15.9	-	-	-	67	-	2	21	3	-	-	7	100
Bosque	9	1.5	-	-	-	31	29	9	31	-	-	-	-	100
Collin	24	29.0	-	-	-	60	12	9	-	-	1	2	16	100
Cooke	4	1.6	-	-	13	3	66	6	6	6	-	-	-	100
Coryell	4	4.0	-	-	-	61	-	18	6	13	-	-	2	100
Dallas	7	7.1	25	16	-	38	13	7	-	1	-	-	-	100
Delta	10	21.4	-	-	-	72	5	23	-	-	-	-	-	100
Denton	4	1.7	-	-	-	36	4	23	15	-	-	22	-	100
Ellis	32	42.3	-	1	-	73	2	12	7	3	-	2	-	100
Falls	18	16.8	-	19	-	37	-	35	8	-	-	-	1	100
Pannin	16	25.0	-	-	-	67	22	11	-	-	-	-	-	100
Grayson	8	8.4	-	-	-	35	13	43	-	-	-	-	9	100
Hill	28	31.9	-	-	-	81	-	5	8	-	2	1	3	100
Hunt	21	33.6	-	-	-	50	23	20	-	5	2	-	-	100
Johnson	8	7.5	-	-	-	90	-	2	-	-	2	1	5	100
Kaufman	13	18.3	-	14	2	43	17	24	-	-	-	-	-	100
Lamar	16	27.7	-	5	-	24	19	46	-	-	-	-	6	100
Limestone	10	11.9	-	-	8	40	16	8	19	9	-	-	-	100
McLennan	31	27.3	-	1	-	52	7	23	8	1	-	1	7	100
Milam	15	11.7	2	17	-	60	-	-	13	-	2	2	4	100
Navarro	19	23.8	-	-	-	62	2	11	11	10	4	-	-	100
Rockwall	5	8.0	-	-	-	42	26	-	-	-	24	-	8	100
Tarrant	5	2.7	-	5	-	77	-	4	3	5	4	2	-	100
Williamson	34	32.3	-	-	-	48	-	17	16	4	7	2	6	100
All other	2	2/	-	-	-	90	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	365	411.4	1	3	*	56	9	17	6	2	2	1	3	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

2/ Ginnings not reported by the Bureau of the Census.



Table 22. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1958 - continued

District and County	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Storm King	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 5													
Bowie	4	2.7	92	4	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	100
Brazos	7	14.1	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	20	100
Cherokee	3	2.9	48	-	36	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	100
Freestone	4	6.0	4	-	40	17	-	11	25	-	-	3	100
Grimes	9	10.5	69	-	-	-	2	3	4	2	-	20	100
Harrison	5	3.4	56	-	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	40	100
Hopkins	5	6.9	1	-	-	66	13	4	-	16	-	-	100
Houston	8	10.0	62	-	3	-	-	-	28	-	-	7	100
Leon	4	3.8	50	-	-	-	7	-	8	29	-	6	100
Madison	3	2.1	50	-	14	-	-	15	-	-	-	21	100
Nacogdoches	3	1.8	75	-	5	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	100
Red River	6	10.9	20	-	-	56	20	4	-	-	-	-	100
Polk	3	.9	74	-	-	-	16	-	-	3	-	7	100
Robertson	8	25.0	62	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	10	26	100
Rusk	3	3.4	38	-	26	-	9	-	-	18	-	9	100
Shelby	7	2.8	80	-	9	-	2	-	-	5	-	4	100
Smith	8	2.1	22	-	36	33	9	-	-	-	-	-	100
Van Zandt	5	4.3	-	-	-	33	67	-	-	-	-	-	100
Walker	3	2.4	67	-	-	-	10	-	15	-	-	8	100
Waller	3	2.8	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	100
All other	20	17.8	29	11	7	5	30	7	5	3	-	3	100
Total	121	136.6	46	2	5	11	10	3	5	3	3	12	100
			Acala 1517C	Delta-pine	Empire	Mesa Acala Improved	Paymaster	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stoneville	Other 1/	—	Total
District 6													
El Paso	23	68.3	67	-	-	2	-	1	30	-	-	-	100
Hudspeth	10	29.0	94	-	-	-	2	-	4	-	-	-	100
Pecos	8	53.0	96	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	-	100
Reeves	24	116.7	87	3	1	1	-	*	5	2	1	-	100
All other	4	16.2	46	-	-	-	54	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	69	283.2	85	1	1	1	*	*	10	1	1	-	100
			Acala	Lankart	Northern Star	Qualla	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	—	—	—	—	Total
District 7													
McCulloch	4	1.7	13	33	18	-	30	6	-	-	-	-	100
Tom Green	7	8.0	10	49	-	3	26	12	-	-	-	-	100
All other	20	6.1	8	55	1	6	21	9	-	-	-	-	100
Total	31	15.8	10	49	3	4	24	10	-	-	-	-	100
			Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
District 8													
Austin	16	11.5	-	55	-	-	2	-	10	16	-	17	100
Bastrop	4	2.6	-	45	-	40	-	-	-	-	-	15	100
Bee	5	3.7	-	7	10	55	-	12	-	-	-	16	100
Burleson	9	15.7	-	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
Caldwell	6	5.1	-	-	-	8	-	-	35	3	-	54	100
Colorado	3	6.2	-	47	-	-	-	6	9	-	27	11	100
De Witt	5	4.8	-	7	-	24	-	55	9	-	-	5	100
Fayette	12	9.5	-	13	-	10	6	-	30	16	13	12	100
Gonzales	4	1.7	-	-	-	13	28	3	17	27	-	12	100
Guadalupe	6	4.1	-	-	-	22	58	-	-	-	-	20	100
Hays	5	3.3	-	-	-	-	8	-	35	3	-	54	100
Karnes	11	5.6	-	4	-	48	-	-	-	32	-	16	100
Lavaca	12	14.9	-	5	-	41	7	2	22	7	1	15	100
Lee	3	1.9	-	-	-	24	-	24	24	20	-	8	100
Nueces	30	57.0	59	7	7	4	-	11	-	-	5	7	100
Refugio	4	8.4	10	5	-	74	-	7	-	-	-	4	100
San Patricio	23	50.6	9	-	-	70	-	14	-	-	-	7	100
Travis	13	8.2	-	2	-	31	1	2	35	23	-	6	100
Washington	16	11.9	8	39	5	-	9	-	15	12	1	11	100
All other	6	4.1	-	1	4	2	-	7	11	43	-	32	100
Total	193	230.8	18	16	2	28	3	8	7	5	3	10	100
			Acala	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Lankart	Northern Star	Rowden	Stoneville	Storm King	Other 1/	Total
District 9													
Brazoria	6	13.5	-	92	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	3	100
Calhoun	5	10.3	1	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	100
Fort Bend	16	38.5	-	87	-	3	-	-	1	2	-	7	100
Jackson	8	7.9	-	81	9	-	5	-	-	-	-	5	100
Matagorda	8	8.2	24	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	100
Victoria	9	11.1	-	23	11	-	45	13	-	-	3	5	100
Wharton	22	55.1	-	77	-	2	-	-	-	2	2	17	100
All other	4	2.3	-	89	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	6	100
Total	78	146.9	1	77	1	2	4	1	1	2	1	10	100
			Acala	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
District 10													
Cameron	41	92.1	3	1	55	18	2	1	-	-	13	7	100
Ridalgo	39	134.6	9	14	53	1	-	1	-	-	13	9	100
Jim Wells	4	4.1	-	-	18	10	48	21	-	-	-	3	100
Live Oak	4	1.1	-	-	-	-	65	-	-	30	-	5	100
Maverick	3	4.9	-	-	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	100
Starr	3	3.2	-	-	38	-	-	-	42	-	1	19	100
Willacy	18	57.5	-	4	42	1	1	1	-	-	39	12	100
Zavala	4	8.9	-	-	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	100
All other	7	6.4	-	-	57	8	5	3	3	3	-	21	100
Total	123	312.8	5	7	53	6	2	1	1	*	17	8	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 23. Other Southeastern and South Central States: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1954-58

State and Year	Ginnings	Bobshaw	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Paula	Plains	Rowden	Sealand and Coastland	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Virginia														
1954	10	-	99	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1955	11	-	97	-	3	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	11	-	98	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1957	7	-	98	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1958	-	-	97	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Florida														
1954	17	-	69	-	15	1	-	-	4	-	1	*	10	100
1955	15	-	58	-	6	1	-	-	18	-	2	5	10	100
1956	9	-	63	-	3	2	-	-	14	-	2	-	16	100
1957	7	-	67	-	7	5	1	-	11	-	1	-	-	100
1958	-	-	55	-	2	14	-	-	13	-	2	-	14	100
Kentucky														
1954	8	-	-	-	83	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1955	8	-	-	-	85	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	8	-	-	-	87	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1957	4	-	-	-	93	-	7	-	-	-	-	*	-	100
1958	-	-	-	-	83	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Illinois														
1954	3	10	-	-	-	-	72	-	-	-	-	18	-	100
1955	2	10	-	10	1	12	56	-	-	-	-	11	-	100
1956	3	-	-	4	4	35	40	-	-	-	-	17	-	100
1957	1	-	-	11	2	-	74	-	-	-	-	*	13	100
1958	-	-	-	5	-	43	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Missouri														
1954	456	*	1	4	30	*	40	4	-	1	-	20	-	100
1955	420	-	*	21	35	*	21	4	-	1	-	16	2	100
1956	447	-	*	29	33	*	21	2	-	1	-	13	1	100
1957	183	-	1	32	29	-	22	1	-	-	-	12	3	100
1958	-	-	1	36	30	-	22	*	-	-	-	8	3	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 24. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1954-58

State and Year	Ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala 44	Acala 1517C	Deltapine	Mesilla Valley	Paymaster	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Arizona												
1954	900	6	80	4	-	-	-	*	3	-	7	100
1955	724	4	86	4	-	-	-	*	3	-	3	100
1956	823	6	83	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	100
1957	754	4	81	4	-	*	-	-	6	-	5	100
1958	-	7	80	5	*	-	-	-	6	-	2	100
California												
1954	1,512	99	1	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
1955	1,221	99	1	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	1,466	97	1	-	2	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
1957	1,557	96	1	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1958	-	94	1	-	5	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
New Mexico												
1954	296	-	-	71	6	2	6	2	-	3	10	100
1955	248	-	-	71	2	2	4	1	3	3	14	100
1956	283	-	-	79	1	1	3	1	1	1	13	100
1957	223	-	-	80	*	*	4	-	2	1	13	100
1958	-	-	-	79	*	*	1	*	4	*	16	100
Texas - District 6												
1954	270	-	-	78	2	3	-	6	1	1	9	100
1955	278	-	-	80	1	3	-	3	10	1	2	100
1956	299	-	-	93	*	1	*	1	3	1	1	100
1957	283	-	-	85	*	1	-	1	11	1	1	100
1958	-	-	-	85	1	-	*	*	10	1	3	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



Table 25. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states and counties, crop of 1958

States and Counties	Gins	1957 Ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala 33	Acala 44	Acala 1517C	Acala 2916	Acala WR-46	Messilla Valley	Pima S-1	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Arizona												
Graham	2	22.4	-	-	8	44	1	1	-	45	1	100
Maricopa	63	325.0	15	2	77	-	-	-	-	6	-	100
Pima	13	45.2	*	-	84	8	-	-	-	8	-	100
Pinal	55	282.9	1	1	94	1	-	-	-	3	-	100
Yuma	11	55.6	5	-	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
All Other	6	23.3	-	-	-	91	-	-	-	-	9	100
Total	150	754.4	7	1	80	5	*	*	-	6	*	100
			Acala 4-42	Acala 44	Coker 124	Delta-pine	Mesilla Valley	Other 1/				Total
California												
Fresno	70	383.0	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Imperial	16	93.2	12	4	1	83	-	-	-	-	-	100
Kern	82	460.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Kings	28	205.0	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Madera	15	66.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Merced	10	49.8	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Riverside	7	46.4	77	11	-	10	2	-	-	-	-	100
Tulare	47	253.0	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	275	1557.0	94	1	*	5	*	-	-	-	-	100
			Acala 1517C	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Mesilla Valley	Paymaster	Pima S-1	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
New Mexico												
Chaves	13	38.5	74	2	22	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
Dona Ana	13	62.2	85	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	1	100
Eddy	12	51.2	96	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	3	100
Lea	11	22.6	47	-	38	5	3	4	-	2	1	100
Luna	4	22.1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Roosevelt	5	11.5	1	-	22	67	-	7	-	-	3	100
All Other	6	15.3	73	-	2	-	-	20	-	-	5	100
Total	64	223.4	79	*	9	4	*	1	4	*	3	100
			Acala 1517C	Delta-pine	Empire	Mesa Acala Improved	Paymaster	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
Texas												
District 6												
El Paso	23	68.3	67	-	-	2	-	1	30	-	-	100
Hudspeth	10	29.0	94	-	-	-	2	-	4	-	-	100
Pecos	8	53.0	96	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	100
Reeves	24	116.7	87	3	1	1	-	*	5	2	1	100
All Other	4	16.2	46	-	-	-	54	-	-	-	*	100
Total	69	283.2	85	1	1	1	*	*	10	1	1	100

\* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY



1022919564

LIBRARY  
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

AGRICULTURE - RODNEY BABER & CO., MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE